**Action in U. N. to Aid Israel Considered in Washington**
By BERTRAM D. HULENSpecial to THE NEW YORK TIMES.
*New York Times (1857-Current file);* May 17, 1948; ProQuest Historical Newspapers The New York Times (1851 - 2002) pg. 1

# Action in U. N. to Aid Israel Considered in Washington

By BERTRAM D. HULEN
Special to THE NEW YORK TIMES.

WASHINGTON, May 16—The United States is expected to give strong support to the new State of Israel in Palestine and its right to defense against invasion. But whether this will be reflected in instructions to Warren R. Austin, representative with the United Nations, for announcement to the Security Council tomorrow afternoon at Lake Success was uncertain tonight.

Officials discussed the situation today but there was not sufficient information regarding what was taking place in Palestine to call for formal conferences for consideration of the framing of instructions for Mr. Austin to make explicit charges at Lake Success.

There was said to be no definite evidence of an invasion of Israel. There was no official confirmation of reports that bombs had been dropped on Tel Aviv.

There were reports that Arab forces from Trans-Jordan had crossed into the Arab territory of Palestine but not yet into Israel. This, it was remarked, might not constitute aggression.

Official reports told of street fighting in Jerusalem, with the Jews moving to take over all the strong points evacuated by the British. Jerusalem is outside the State of Israel.

Efforts were made to obtain information upon which policy could be based. Officials hope the situation will be clarified in time for

**Continued on Page 5, Column 2**

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

# U. N. AID TO ISRAEL STUDIED IN CAPITAL

**Continued From Page 1**

a definite course of action to be laid before the Security Council meets. However, they were not in a position to predict how soon the facts would be established.

President Truman continued his consideration of the question of raising the United States arms embargo against Middle Eastern countries. This is expected to be done, at least in a modified form that will help the new State of Israel.

It is also taken for granted that the United States will exchange diplomatic representatives with the new State. However, both these actions, it was indicated today, probably will await the immediately pressing problem of the course the United States should follow at Lake Success.

That involves the notification by Egypt to the Security Council on the movement of its forces into Palestine and the request of the Jews for the Council to stop the invasions and apply economic and military sanctions, if necessary.

**Problems Before Officials**

Officials said the instructions to Mr. Austin must be conditioned on whether aggression has been officially established as well as whether the area attacked was that contemplated by the United Nations for the Jewish state, and whether it was aggression within the meaning of the United Nations Charter.

There is a broader question, involving the legal status of Palestine, the fact that Israel is only a part of it, and the right of Arab armies to enter other parts that are without a government in the sense that Israel has a provisional government that has received recognition from the United States and Guatemala.

Egypt's explanation that her forces moved in to stamp out terrorism and establish order is not taken seriously here. So far as an invasion of Israel is concerned, it is regarded as certain that this explanation will not be accepted by the United States, whatever other countries may say about it to the Security Council.

In a radio interview today over Station WQQW, Eliahu Epstein, agent of the provisional government of Israel, who made the formal request to President Truman for recognition of the new state, called for lifting of the arms embargo and charged that the invasion was "a serious breach of international security" that should be punished by the United Nations. At the same time he held out "the hand of peace" to the Arabs.

"Not only are we interested in an arrangement or agreement with the future neighboring Arab state in Palestine," he asserted, "but more than that, we look for closer cooperation with the other Arab states as well."

However, he added, the Arabs must respond and treat Israel as an equal and independent nation.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.