Excerpts From Debate on Mideast in U.N. Council
New York Times (1857-Current file); Jun 7, 1967; ProQuest Historical Newspapers The New York Times (1851 - 2002)
pg. 20

Excerpts From Debate on Mideast in U.N. Council

Special to The New York Times

UNITED NATIONS, N. Y., June 6—Following are excerpts from the Security Council debate tonight on the Middle East:

Arthur J. Goldberg, United States

In the resolution just adopted, the Security Council, acting in the exercise of its responsibilities under the Charter, has issued a clear call for an end to the hostilities in the Near East. This resolution is a first step on the road back toward peace. It carries the full authority of the United Nations.

It is now the duty of all the parties concerned to comply fully and promptly with the terms of this resolution. It is equally the duty of every member of the United Nations to support the implementation of the resolution by the full weight of its influence.

It is our fervent hope that the Council's appeal will be immediately and fully complied with. We believe that a cease-fire represents the urgent first step in restoring peace to the Near East. Once this is accomplished, Mr. President, my delegation believes that the Council should then turn its immediate attention to the other steps that will be required to achieve a more lasting peace.

Before concluding, Mr. President, it is my duty to speak of a specific matter related to the position I have just reiterated. During the past 24 hours, fantastic allegations have been made about United States aircraft being involved in the hostilities in the Near East. These allegations are totally without foundation in fact. They are made up out of whole cloth.

I take this opportunity in the Security Council on the complete authority of the United States Government to deny them categorically without any ifs, ands or buts. Indeed, yesterday morning, June 5, within hours after first hearing such charges, my Government denied them in a formal statement issued by the Department of Defense which I now quote:

"There have been reports that U.S. aircraft from aircraft carriers assigned to the Sixth Fleet have flown to Israeli airfields. Other reports have stated that Sixth Fleet aircraft have participated in air activities elsewhere in the area of conflict. All such reports are erroneous. All Sixth Fleet aircraft are and have been several hundred miles from the area of conflict."

Mr. President, charges of this sort at a time like this cannot be treated lightly. They are in the category of a cry of "fire" in a crowded theater. They have been used in the overt incitement of mob violence against American diplomatic and other installations in several Arab states. These false reports—for which motive I do not wish to speculate—have been propagated in a highly inflammable situation.

Invited on Carriers

In these circumstances, my Government considers it necessary to take prompt steps to prevent the further spread of these dangerous falsehoods. With this in mind I am authorized to announce in this Council and propose two concrete measures. The United States is prepared, first, to cooperate in an immediate impartial investigation of these charges by the United Nations, and to offer all facilities to the United Nations in this investigation.

And second, as a part of, or in addition to such an investigation, the United States is prepared to invite United Nations personnel aboard our aircraft carriers in the Mediterranean today, tomorrow, or at the convenience of the United Nations to serve as impartial observers of the activities of our planes from our official records and from the log that each ship carries.

Mr. President, in conclusion let me commend to every state the Council's resolution just adopted: Our duty now, as member states bound by the Charter, is to place all the influence at the command of our respective governments behind the fulfillment of the decision arrived at by the Council unanimously. Properly carried out, this resolution will be a major step towards peace and security in the Near East, and will provide a point of reference from which to resolve underlying problems in a spirit of justice and equity.

Abba Eban, Israel

I have just come from Jerusalem to tell the Security Council that Israel by her independent effort and sacrifice has passed from serious danger to successful resistance.

Two days ago her condition caused much concern across the humane and friendly world.

For Egypt had openly proclaimed that Elath did not form part of Israel and had predicted that Israel itself would soon expire.

The proclamation was empty.

The prediction now lies in ruins.

While the main branch of hostile threat was focused on the southern front an alarming plan of encirclement was under way with Egypt's initiative and guidance. Israel was already being strangled in her maritime approaches to the whole eastern half of the world. For 16 years she had been illegally denied passage in the Suez Canal despite this Security Council's decision of Sept. 4, 1951. And now the creative enterprise of 10 patient years which had opened an international route across the Tiran Strait and the Gulf of Aqaba had been suddenly and arbitrarily choked.

Israel was and is breathing with only a single lung. Jordan had been intimidated against her better interest into joining a defense pact which is not a defense pact at all.

Iraqui troops reinforced Jordanian units in areas immediately facing the vital and vulnerable Israeli communications centers.

Expeditionary forces from Algeria and Kuwait had reached Egyptian territory. Nearly all the Egyptian forces which had been attempting the conquest of the Yemen had been transferred to the coming assault upon Israel. Syrian units including artillery overlooked the Israeli villages in the Jordan valley.

In short, there was peril for Israel wherever she looked. There was an apocolyptic air of approaching peril, and Israel faced this danger alone.

In accordance with her inherent right of self-defense as formulated in Article 51 of the United Nations Charter, Israel responded defensively in full strength.

Mr. Chairman, when the approaching Egyptian aircraft appeared on our radar screens soon to be followed by artillery attacks on our village near the Gaza Strip I instructed Ambassador Rafael to inform the Security Council in accordance with the provisions of Article 51 of the Charter. I know that this involved arousing you, Mr. Chairman, at a most uncongenial hour of the night but we felt that the Security Council should be most urgently seized.

'Disconcerted' by U. N. Role

I should, however, be less than frank if I were to conceal the fact that the Government and people of Israel have been disconcerted by some aspects of the United Nations role in this conflict. The sudden withdrawal of the United Nations Emergency Force was not accompanied as it should have been by due international consultation on the consequences of that withdrawal.

Now these acts taken together—the blockade, the dismissal of the United Nations force and the heavy concentration in Sinai—effectively disrupted the status quo which had insured a relative stability on the Egyptian-Israeli frontier for 10 years.

It is now the task of governments concerned to elaborate the new conditions of their coexistence.

In order that the new system of interstate relationship might flourish in the Middle East, it is important that certain principles be applied above and beyond the cease-fire to which the Security Council has given its unanimous support.

I have said, Mr. President, that the situation to be constructed after the cease-fire must depend upon certain principles.

The first of these principles surely must be the acceptance of Israel's statehood and the total elimination of the fiction of her nonexistence. It would seem to me that after 3,000 years the time has arrived to accept Israel's nationhood as a fact.

The second principle must be that of a peaceful settlement of disputes. The resolution thus adopted holds within the concept of the pacific settlement of disputes. I have already said that much could be done if the governments of the area would embark indeed more on direct contact. They must find their way to each other. After all, when there is conflict between them they come together face to face.

Now whether all the speeches of all the great powers this evening meet this criterion, everybody of course can judge for himself.

I think that Israel has proved her steadfastness and vigor in recent days. She is now willing to demonstrate her instinct for peace. Let us build a new system of relationships from the wreckage of the old. Let us discern across the darkness the vision of a better and a brighter dawn.

George J. Tomeh, Syria

So much evil can be put sometimes at the service of a bad and false cause. With this introduction I must confess, Sir, that I did not have in mind at all to answer in any way the statement made by Mr. Eban. However, there are many allegations, many false representations in his statement that make it absolutely necessary to point out at least some of the basic sophistries contained in his long statement.

One of them is this: his whole edifice, his whole statement, his whole representation of the case is based on a fallacy and the fallacy is this: It is for us here to point out who is really an aggressor, who is the victim of aggression, who started the aggression.

I think it should be made crystal clear here that right from the beginning, that in their confession, the Israelis were the ones who started the aggression against the United Arab Republic. In the chain and sequence of events that followed afterwards, everything was the result of that act of aggression. Israel attacked after premeditation and waged a campaign against the United Arab Republic.

'Avoided Basic Issue'

In all of what Mr. Eban said, he avoided one basic issue and that is what is not out of memory, because I am sure Mr. Eban, distinguished scholar as he is, must have a very strong memory. That is the Arab people of Palestine.

Until and unless the Arab people of Palestine are recognized by Israel and by the Israeli people themselves as being the third party to the dispute, we will continue . . . to deal only with palliatives and not with the solution of the problem.

Mr. President, at this juncture of the Middle East crisis, the delegation of the Syrian Arab Republic wishes to place on record that the Governments of the United States and of Great Britain by their actions in collusion with the Israeli aggressors have proved beyond any doubt to be the bitter enemies of the Arab nations.

Today we have decisive, irrefutable proof at our disposal that the aerial forces of Great Britain and of the United States have actively participated with Israel in its aggression. The United States and the United Kingdom Air Forces have been participating in two ways: First by joining the Israeli Air Force in its attacks against the Arab cities and civilians and, two, by providing air cover for the Israeli armed forces.

This they did from the very first moment of the Israeli attack on Cairo, Damascus and Amman.

Points to an 'Anomaly'

Before proceeding into more detail let me in all fairness to myself and to those who have to judge the issue to point to a very great anomaly of the situation.

And that is this: the accused in this case are the United Kingdom and the United States. But their representatives appear in the Council as judges. Thus we have the unique situation when I, as a plaintiff, must accept the answer of the accused who sets himself up as a judge. I am sure that in the vast and great legal knowledge of the distinguished representative of the United States such a situation disqualifies the judge.

Sir, it certainly is no mere coincidence that today the countries of Algeria, the United Arab Republic, Iraq and my own country, Syria, have severed their relations with the United States. For no matter in what manner the justification of the support of the United States Government to Israel is said or stated, the conviction remains with us that the U.S. policy is geared and has been geared for the last quarter of a century, to say the least, to the fulfillment of the aims of Zionism.

Sees False Picture

The idea has been artificially propagated in the United States that Israel is a small, tiny state, peace-loving, looking for nothing else but peace, surrounded by ugly aggressive Arabs intent on destroying tiny Israel.

The United States Government, whose huge and powerful armies are killing the people of Vietnam day and night, have fought a battle against our people, the Arab people, but neither the Vietnamese have nor the Arabs now have committed any aggression against the Americans. The United States Government and people are fighting a war of annihilation. The Arab people will never be destroyed in spite of the British Royal Air Force and the Sixth Fleet at the disposal of Mr. Levi Eshkol in his own recognition.

We have no quarrel with this great country but with its Zionists, who have misled and deceived this great people and country against the Arabs. The Zionist international intrigue has chained the United States to their selfishness. Zionists who have opposed the war in Vietnam who are themselves more strongly calling now for American intervention in the Middle East to support Israel —is this not a sheer case of schizophrenia?

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.